

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

PL



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,681	05/16/2001	Toshihiro Tsurugasaki	ASA-1000	1552
24956	7590	06/21/2004	EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TANG, KUO LIANG J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2122	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/855,681

Applicant(s)

TSURUGASAKI, TOSHIHIRO

Examiner

Kuo-Liang J Tang

Art Unit

2122

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/16/2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/16/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 5/16/2001.

The priority date for this application is 5/18/2000.

Claims 1-10 are pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lauterbach, "Trace32 RTOS Debugger for pSOS+", <http://www.lauterbach.com>, 1999.

As Per Claim 1, Lauterbach teaches that the TRACE32 System includes a configurable multitask debugger to provide symbolic debugging in real time operating systems. The software package contains a ready-to-run configuration for the pSOS+ Real Time Kernel from Integrated Systems. (E.g. see page 1). In that Lauterbach discloses the method that covering the steps of:

"acquiring an operation state change of each process into the debugger system;" (E.g. see page 2, Figure "Terminal window to the pSOS+ debugger pROBE+", column "Status" and associated text);


Mode Status Susp?

```
0000 Ready
0000 WkAfter
0000 Running
0002 Ready
0002 Ready
0000 Ready YES
0000 WkAfter
0002 Ready
0002 Ready
```

“representing each process using a predetermined pattern;” ;” (E.g. see page 2, Figure “Terminal window to the pSOS+ debugger pROBE+”, tasks ‘IDLE’, ‘MEM1’ and ‘MEM2’ and associated text);


Name	TID	Prio	Mode	Status	Susp?	Parameters	Ticks
'IDLE'	-000010000	00	0000	Ready			
'MEM1'	-000030000	30	0000	WkAfter			00000004
'MEM2'	-000040000	2F	0000	Running			

“representing a relationship between the processes using a layout of patterns and lines therebetween;” (E.g. see page 5, Figure “Statistics and flow of tasks” and associated text); and



“representing the operation state of each process using a particular display mode for the pattern.” (E.g. see page 3, Figure “Task list window and detailed window of one specific task” and associated text).

Art Unit: 2122



Task list window and detailed window of one specific task


As Per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Lauterbach teaches:

“the step of acquiring detailed information of each process together with the operation state change thereof into the debugger system and displaying the detailed information and the operation state change.” (E.g. see page 3, Figure “Task list window and detailed window of one specific task” and associated text).

As Per claim 3, the rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further Lauterbach teaches:

“storing an operation state change reported from each process in a table for each process; and updating, when a new operation state change of a process is reported, the table of the process, the display of the pattern, and the display of the detailed information.” (E.g. see page 3, Figure “Task list window and detailed window of one specific task” and associated text).

Art Unit: 2122



Task list window and detailed window of one specific task

As Per claim 4, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Lauterbach teaches:

“wherein the relationship between the processes is a parent-child relationship or a brother relationship.” (E.g. see page 3, Figure “Task list window and detailed window of one specific task”, column “prio” and associated text). Examiner interprets that the task/process with the same priority has a brother relationship.

id	prio	node	status	s
00010000	00	0000	Ready	
00030000	30	0000	Wkafter	
00040000	2F	0000	Running	
00050000	1E	0002	Ready	
00060000	1E	0002	Ready	
00070000	00	0000	Ready	
00080000	50	0000	Wkafter	
00090000	10	0002	Ready	
000A0000	10	0002	Ready	

As Per claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Lauterbach teaches:

“wherein the operation states of each process include generation, start, halt, resume, and end of the process.” (E.g. see page 2, Figure “Terminal window to the pSOS+ debugger pROBE+”, column “Status” and associated text).

Art Unit: 2122

Node	Status	Susp?
0000	Ready	
0000	Waiter	
0000	Running	
0002	Ready	
0002	Ready	
0000	Ready	YES
0000	Waiter	
0002	Ready	
0002	Ready	

As Per claim 6, the rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further Lauterbach teaches:

“wherein the pattern display and the detailed information display are conducted on one screen.” (E.g. see page 3, Figure “Task list window and detailed window of one specific task” and associated text).

As Per claim 7, the rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further Lauterbach teaches:

“wherein the pattern display and the detailed information display are performed, in response to operation of a mouse/keyboard indicating one of the processes on either one of the displays, in an emphasized mode in relation to each other.” (E.g. see page 3, Figure “Task list window and detailed window of one specific task” and associated text).

As Per Claim 8, is the system claim corresponding to the method claim 1 and is rejected under the same reason set forth in connection of the rejection of claim 1.

As per Claim 9, the rejection of claim 8 is incorporated and is rejected under the same reason set forth in connection of the rejection of claim 2.

As Per Claim 10, is the program storage device readable claim corresponding to the method claim 1 and is rejected under the same reason set forth in connection of the rejection of claim 1.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang J Tang whose telephone number is 703-305-4866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q Dam can be reached on 703-305-4552.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks


Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306.

Kuo-Liang J. Tang

Software Engineer Patent Examiner


TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER